

ARTICLE

Kinetic and functional analysis of abundant microRNAs in extracellular vesicles from normal and stressed cultures of Chinese hamster ovary cells

Jessica Belliveau^{1,2}  | Will Thompson^{1,2}  | Eleftherios T. Papoutsakis^{1,2,3} 

¹Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware, USA

²Delaware Biotechnology Institute, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware, USA

³Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware, USA

Correspondence

Eleftherios T. Papoutsakis, Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Delaware, 590 Ave 1743, Newark, DE 19713, USA.
Email: epaps@udel.edu

Funding information

US Department of Education GAANN Fellowship, Grant/Award Number: P200A210065; Merck Sharp and Dohme; Advanced Mammalian Biomanufacturing Innovation Center

Abstract

Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells release and exchange large quantities of extracellular vesicles (EVs). EVs are highly enriched in microRNAs (miRs, or miRNAs), which are responsible for most of their biological effects. We have recently shown that the miR content of CHO EVs varies significantly under culture stress conditions. Here, we provide a novel stoichiometric ("per-EV") quantification of miR and protein levels in large CHO EVs produced under ammonia, lactate, osmotic, and age-related stress. Each stress resulted in distinct EV miR levels, with selective miR loading by parent cells. Our data provide a proof of concept for the use of CHO EV cargo as a diagnostic tool for identifying culture stress. We also tested the impact of three select miRs (let-7a, miR-21, and miR-92a) on CHO cell growth and viability. Let-7a—abundant in CHO EVs from stressed cultures—reduced CHO cell viability, while miR-92a—abundant in CHO EVs from unstressed cultures—promoted cell survival. Overexpression of miR-21 had a slight detrimental impact on CHO cell growth and viability during late exponential-phase culture, an unexpected result based on the reported antiapoptotic role of miR-21 in other mammalian cell lines. These findings provide novel relationships between CHO EV cargo and cell phenotype, suggesting that CHO EVs may exert both pro- and antiapoptotic effects on target cells, depending on the conditions under which they were produced.

KEYWORDS

ammonia stress, Chinese hamster ovary cells, extracellular vesicles, microRNA, osmotic stress

1 | INTRODUCTION

Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells have long served as mainstays of industrial protein production. Recently, we have found that CHO cells in culture participate in a massive and continuous exchange of extracellular vesicles (EVs) (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2022), thus impacting culture performance by a hitherto unknown and unexplored mechanism. EVs are submicron-sized particles enclosed by a lipid bilayer that originate from either multivesicular

bodies or the plasma membrane. EVs from multivesicular bodies (known as "exosomes") are generally smaller in size (50–150 nm), while EVs released from the plasma membrane (known as microparticles or microvesicles) tend to be larger (100–1000 nm). Released by every cell type, EVs serve as linchpins of intercellular communication, mediating phenotypes of target cells through the delivery of nucleic acid and/or protein cargo (Kao & Papoutsakis, 2019; van Niel et al., 2018; Raposo & Stoorvogel, 2013).

In particular, microRNAs (miRs) have been identified as key molecules responsible for EV biological activities (Dellar et al., 2022; O'Brien et al., 2020). Most commonly, miRs influence cellular behavior by binding to complementary sequences on longer messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and subsequently inhibiting protein translation or degrading the mRNA (Dellar et al., 2022; O'Brien et al., 2020). Most miRs have multiple mRNA targets and work together to regulate cellular processes and phenotypes; even small changes in miR expression, such as 1.5-fold change, can affect cellular phenotypes (Mestdagh et al., 2009). EVs are loaded with individual miRs and other cargo by a variety of chaperone proteins (Anand et al., 2019; Corrado et al., 2021; Fabbiano et al., 2020; Leidal & Debnath, 2020).

CHO EVs have only recently garnered significant attention. In particular, we have found that CHO EVs exchange large quantities of RNA cargo between their parent cells (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2022, 2023). The nature of that cargo, which has been investigated by several groups (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2023; Busch et al., 2022; Keysberg et al., 2021), is now known to vary significantly as a function of metabolite (ammonia and osmotic) stress (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2023). Preliminary proteomic analysis has also been performed on CHO EVs, with large and diverse protein populations observed in both large and small CHO EVs from various phases of culture (Keysberg et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2016). Still, nothing is known about the specific function of CHO EV cargo in culture. In two instances, CHO EVs have been found to promote desirable phenotypes in target cells, with smaller EVs (i.e., "exosomes") promoting CHO cell growth (Takagi et al., 2021) and protecting CHO cells against oxidative stress (Han & Rhee, 2018). However, the EV cargo mediating these phenotypes remains unknown.

From this vantage point, this study provides novel insights into the functions of CHO EV miR cargo in CHO cells, hinting at possible mechanisms for CHO EV bioactivity observed in other studies. Specifically, we provide a unique (for CHO EV research) stoichiometric accounting of miR and protein cargo levels in EVs produced under various stress conditions. We also examine cellular phenotypes mediated by the three highly abundant miRs: let-7a, miR-21, and miR-92a. The focus of this work is the larger EVs, often labeled microvesicles or microparticles (MPs), which have been the focus of fewer studies, but which are easier to isolate—a boon for their potential as a diagnostic tool—and capable of carrying larger cargo loads, thus suitable for a broader range of synthetic applications using DNA, RNA, protein, nucleoprotein (such as CRISPR systems) and other organic molecules as payload. Due to challenges in distinguishing the biogenesis of isolated EVs, the more general term of EV is used here and increasingly in the EV field (Théry et al., 2018). In our previous publication (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2023) reporting on the microRNome of CHO cells and their EVs, the larger EVs were called MPs, and the smaller EVs were called exosomes. Our previous publications (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2022, 2023) have provided detailed CHO EV characterization that meets or exceeds the MISEV2018 guidelines from the International Society for

Extracellular Vesicles (Théry et al., 2018). Here, we use the same isolation methods as before, expanding our CHO EV characterization by focusing primarily on various miR cargoes and their potential functions in CHO cell culture.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Chemicals and reagents

Except where otherwise noted, all chemicals and reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich or Thermo Fisher Scientific.

2.2 | CHO cell culture

CHO cells were obtained and cultured as described previously (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2022, 2023). Briefly, a CHO-K1 cell line expressing the VRC01 antibody was cultured in HyClone ActiPro media (Cytiva) supplemented with 6 mM L-glutamine. Culture occurred in either 125 mL shake flasks (20 mL culture volume) at 120 rpm or 50 mL culture tubes (15 mL culture volume) at 250 rpm. A seeding density of 0.4×10^6 cells/mL was employed in each case, and cells were incubated at 37°C in 20% O₂ and 5% CO₂.

Ammonia stress was applied by adding 9 mM ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4) to Day 0 of the culture. Lactate stress was applied by adding 10 mM sodium lactate (NaC₃H₅O₃) in PBS (pH 7.4) to Day 2 of the culture (to coincide with the natural spike in culture lactate concentration). Osmotic effects of the lactate stress were controlled for via an "osmotic control" treatment, wherein 10 mM of sodium chloride (NaCl) in PBS (pH 7.4) was added to Day 2 of the culture, such that the culture osmolarity resulting from the added NaCl was 20 mOsm/L. Higher osmotic stress was applied by adding 60 mM NaCl in PBS (pH 7.4) to Day 0 of the culture, such that the culture osmolarity resulting from the added NaCl was 120 mOsm/L.

2.3 | Isolation and quantification of EVs

CHO EVs were isolated and characterized as described (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2022, 2023). As noted, only large CHO EVs (i.e., "CHO MPs") were collected and analyzed for this study. Briefly, CHO cells were pelleted via centrifugation at 180g for 4 min. From the resulting supernatant, apoptotic bodies and large cellular debris were pelleted via centrifugation at 2000g for 10 min. Finally, from this new supernatant, CHO EVs were pelleted via ultracentrifugation at 28,000g and 4°C for 30 min. Ultracentrifugation employed an Optima LE-80K Ultracentrifuge with an SW-28 rotor (Beckman Coulter) for initial separation and an Optima Max Ultracentrifuge with a TLA-55 rotor (Beckman Coulter) for sample enrichment and washing. Isolated CHO EVs were resuspended in culture media and used immediately or stored at 4°C overnight.

CHO EVs were counted using either a BD FACSArial flow cytometer with FACSDiva software (BD Biosciences) or a CytoFLEX S flow cytometer with CytExpert software (Beckman Coulter). Here, CHO EVs were defined as all particles between 0.2 micron (the smallest reliable size that can be counted using our flow cytometry instruments) and 1 micron in size; size gates were constructed using fluorescent calibration beads from Spherotech. For experiments using the BD FACSArial flow cytometer, a known quantity of ~5 micron AccuCount Fluorescent Beads (Spherotech) was used for CHO EV counting.

Further characterization of the CHO EVs including size distribution and protein markers are described in our previous work (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2022).

2.4 | Extraction of total RNA

Extraction of total RNA from CHO cells and EVs was done as described (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2023). Briefly, total RNA was extracted from pelleted cells or EVs using a miRNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) per the manufacturer's instructions. In some cases, a known quantity (1 pmol) of synthetic cel-miR-39-3p (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to samples during the cell/EV lysis step. This spike-in control enabled the calculation of miRNA copy numbers using the ratio of native miRNA to miR-39 informed by subsequent reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) experiments. Although the same amount of miR-39 was added to each sample, EV input quantity was variable (sample volumes were kept constant). Extracted RNA samples were flash-frozen in liquid N₂ and stored at -80°C until further use.

2.5 | Quantification of total miR

Total miR levels in extracted RNA samples were quantified using a Qubit miR Assay Kit and Qubit 3.0 Fluorimeter (Thermo Fisher Scientific); the manufacturer's protocols were employed.

2.6 | Quantification of individual miRs via RT-PCR

Individual miR levels were quantified via RT-PCR as described (Kao et al., 2022). Briefly, reverse transcription employed the ThermoFisher TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit with miR-specific primers and probes (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and proceeded according to the manufacturer's protocol. PCR employed the TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix II with miR-specific small RNA assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and proceeded according to the manufacturer's protocol. For PCR experiments, three technical replicates were performed for each biological replicate. Reverse transcription and PCR used a CFX96 Optical Reaction Module (Bio-Rad), with miR levels quantified via the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). Specifically, the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method was used to

calculate the ratio of each specific miRNA concentration to the concentration of the spike-in control (miR-39). Because the spike-in control quantity was known, the total copy number of the specific miRNA under investigation could thereafter be calculated and normalized to the total number of EVs used to create the sample.

2.7 | Quantification of total protein

Total protein in CHO EV samples was quantified using a Bradford-based, colorimetric assay kit. CHO EVs were washed in PBS and resuspended in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (Millipore Sigma) supplemented with protease inhibitor (200:1 buffer-to-inhibitor ratio). Sample volumes ranged from 50 to 300 μ L, depending on CHO EV concentration. Samples were agitated at 4°C for 30 min. and thereafter centrifuged at 16,000g and 4°C for 25 min. Supernatants containing the total protein were diluted with water (18:2 water-to-lysate ratio). Subsequent protein quantification employed the Bio-Rad protein assay kit (Bio-Rad). A 100 μ L solution of Reagent A and Reagent S (5:1 ratio) was added to each sample; samples were agitated briefly and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The absorbance of each sample at 750 nm was recorded for three technical replicates of each biological replicate. A calibration curve was constructed via serial dilution of a bovine serum albumin standard.

2.8 | Plasmid preparation

Escherichia coli transformed with plasmids, detailed below, containing the primary or a fragment of the primary miR sequences were obtained from Addgene as stab cultures, expanded on Luria Broth (LB) agar plates with 100 μ g/mL carbenicillin, and single colonies were selected to expand in liquid LB cultures with 100 μ g/mL carbenicillin overnight. Plasmid purification of the overnight cultures was done with QIAGEN Miniprep Kit (QIAGEN) and quantified with the double-stranded DNA HS Qubit Kit (Invitrogen).

2.9 | Overexpression of miRs

CHO cells were electroporated with 2 μ g of plasmids containing the primary or a fragment of the primary miRs sequences of three miRs that were in high abundance in standard or stressed cultures (miR-21 (#21114; Addgene), miR-92a (#46672; Addgene), let-7a (#51377; Addgene) using the Nucleofector V kit (Lonza) according to manufacturer's protocols. Cells were cultured in 2 mL cultures in 12-well plates at 37°C and 120 rpm. Growth media was changed 1 day after electroporation to remove excess electroporation buffer and 0.2 mg/mL geneticin was added to apply selection pressure to overexpress the plasmids. Geneticin was added every other day to maintain selection pressure. Cell growth was measured every day and viability was measured every other day.

2.10 | Viability assay

Viability was measured every other day using an Annexin V (BioLegend) and 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) (BioLegend) flow cytometry assay. Briefly, cells were washed with cold PBS twice, then resuspended in Annexin V Binding Buffer (BioLegend) and incubated at room temperature in the dark with FITC-Annexin V (1:20 antibody to binding buffer by volume) and 7-AAD (1:20 stain to binding buffer by volume) for 15 min. Cells were then analyzed using flow cytometry (CytoFLEX S flow cytometer using CytExpert Software; Beckman Coulter).

2.11 | Statistical analysis

Except where otherwise noted, each data point represents the mean of ≥ 3 biological replicates, with error bars indicating $\pm$ one standard error of the mean. Unpaired Student's *t*-tests were performed on all data, with **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, and ****p* < 0.001.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Total miR and protein quantities in CHO EVs vary with culture age and stress

Total miR and protein levels in CHO EVs could serve as valuable metrics for CHO culture diagnostics but also for cell-specific miR manufacturing/production. For example, *in vitro* or *in vivo* dosages of EVs for biotechnological or therapeutic applications are based on total mass or total proteins, two quantities that are affected by the specific per EV mass or protein. How those might vary with culture parameters has not been systematically reported. Although our prior work has identified individual miR cargo in CHO EVs produced under stress (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2023), nothing is known about age/stress-induced variation in total miR or protein levels in CHO EVs. In this study, total miR and protein levels were quantified using Qubit fluorimetry and a Bradford protein assay, respectively. Total miR levels in CHO EVs increased steadily as parent cultures aged, with significant increases in said miR levels occurring from Days 3 to 6 and from Days 6 to 9 (Figure 1a). For CHO EV protein levels, however, the trend was reversed: levels were highest on Day 1 and diminished thereafter, with protein levels dropping significantly from Days 3 to 6 and from Days 6 to 9 (Figure 1b). Notably, total protein weight is much higher than total miR weight at any given point in time. These results were unexpected and surprising in that one would assume that these two EV specific properties are largely constant. The opposite trends (total miR vs. total protein) are also unexpected and the mechanism that underlies that is for now unknown.

Total miR levels in CHO EVs were also significantly higher (relative to controls) in Day 3 cultures treated with ammonia, lactate, or osmotic (20 and 120 mOsm/L) stress. A total of 120 mOsm/L osmotic stress was also significantly more effective than 20 mOsm/L

osmotic stress in boosting total CHO EV miR levels (Figure 1c). However, no level of osmotic stress had an impact on total CHO EV protein levels; only ammonia and lactate stress promoted significant increases in total protein levels (relative to the control) (Figure 1d). In sum, these results suggest that miR and protein loading in CHO EVs is a dynamic process that varies significantly with culture age and stress. While total miR or protein levels are inappropriate as methods for CHO EV counting, they offer promise as metrics for the rapid and noninvasive monitoring of culture stress conditions.

3.2 | Levels of highly abundant miRs in CHO cells decrease with culture age

Given the relationship between culture age and CHO EV miR cargo loading, optimal harvest times for CHO EVs with high levels of specific individual miRs must be identified, such that per-EV miR levels are maximized. In this study, RT-PCR was employed to quantify levels of five highly abundant miRs (as determined by RNA sequencing of CHO EVs from standard culture) (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2023). Levels of cgr-miR-92a-3p, cgr-miR-23a-3p, cgr-miR-21-5p, cgr-miR-25-3p, and mmu-let-7c-5p were assessed in both CHO EVs and CHO cells on Days 1, 3, 6, and 9 of culture. Highly sensitive TaqMan assays combined with spike-in control cel-miR-39-3p enabled the calculation of miR copy number per EV via the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001), which is an increasingly popular method for EV miR cargo quantification (Kondratov et al., 2020; Perge et al., 2017). It should be noted that EV count is dependent on the method of counting (e.g., nanoparticle tracking analysis [NTA] or flow cytometry). Here, EV counting via flow cytometry was used. Cellular levels of all five miRs decreased significantly as cultures aged, with the most significant drops observed between Days 3 and 9 (Figure 2b). Similar decreases were observed between CHO EVs derived from Days 1 and 3 cultures, though these drops were not significant (Figure 2a). We suggest that the high variability in the Day 1 EVs is the result of variability during culture startup (i.e., due to inoculum variability). Individual miR levels in CHO EV samples from Days 6 and 9 were not detectable via RT-PCR, possibly the result of a coisolated product inhibiting reverse transcription and/or amplification. Nevertheless, trends in cellular miR levels suggest that CHO EV miR levels may also vary significantly with culture age. Optimization of harvest times for CHO EVs possessing high or low levels of various specific miRs must therefore be a priority.

3.3 | Highly abundant individual miRs are more highly enriched in CHO EVs from unstressed cultures, a phenomenon not observed in the parent cells

Levels of the individual miRs noted above were also measured in CHO EVs (Figure 3a) and CHO cells (Figure 3b) produced/grown under osmotic, ammonia, and lactate stress. Samples were taken from Day 3 culture, with samples from unstressed cultures serving as




FIGURE 1 Total miR and protein levels in CHO EVs. Total (a) miR and (b) protein levels in CHO EVs were measured at different points in the culture and normalized to CHO EV quantity. Total (c) miR and (d) protein levels in CHO EVs produced under osmotic, ammonia, and lactate stress were measured and normalized to CHO EV quantity. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean of three replicates. Significance was determined with unpaired Student's *t*-test, **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01. CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; EV, extracellular vesicle; miR, microRNA.

controls. Since lactate stress did not inhibit cell growth, a 20 mOsm/L NaCl control was used to assess whether the impact of lactate on EV miR cargo was due to its osmotic effects. The individual miR levels in CHO EVs from unstressed cultures were, without exception, higher than the levels observed in CHO EVs from stressed cultures, regardless of the type or magnitude of stress, and this trend was statistically significant for miR-25 and let-7c. Notably, despite these relatively large differences between individual miR quantities in stressed and unstressed CHO EVs, miR quantities rarely varied significantly between stressed unstressed parent cells (Figure 3b), suggesting the impact of stress on CHO EV miR levels is mediated not by cellular miR concentrations, but rather by changes in the behavior of protein chaperones responsible for cargo loading (for the five miRs and four stress conditions tested, at least). On the other hand, cellular miR levels under ammonia/lactate stress often were significantly higher than corresponding levels under osmotic stress (Figure 3b), suggesting the various stresses have highly distinct impacts on cellular miR production machinery.

Previous investigations of EVs from other cell types have found abundant miR species to exist in EVs at anywhere from 1 copy per

10–100 EVs (Chevillet et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2017) to 10–100 copies per 1 EV (Kondratov et al., 2020; Stevanato et al., 2016). The individual miR copy numbers (per EV) identified in this study fit quite reasonably within these ranges, with especially notable expression (>100 copies) of miR-92a per EV observed in two samples. Traditional flow cytometry is limited in its ability to count particles of less than 200 nm, while NTA accounts for smaller particles but is more apt to count non-EV proteins and aggregates (George et al., 2021). For this reason, EV concentrations are 1–2 orders of magnitude higher when counts are taken via NTA (vs. flow cytometry) (George et al., 2021). Given our focus on larger MPs, which are enriched via ultracentrifugation at 28,000g, this study counts EVs using flow cytometry.

The RT-PCR data in this section also provide support for a selective CHO EV loading process, both during normal growth and under stress conditions. That is, the miR contents of the CHO EVs are not a proportional reflection of intracellular miR availability, but instead reflect an active, intentional loading process on the part of the parent cell and its protein chaperones. Assuming spherical CHO cells of 15 microns (diameter) and spherical CHO EVs of 250 nm,




FIGURE 2 Individual miR levels in CHO EVs and CHO cells at various timepoints in culture. The levels of five abundant miRs were individually measured in (a) CHO EVs and (b) CHO cells at different points in culture via RT-PCR. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean of three replicates. Significance was determined with unpaired Student's *t*-test, **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01. CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; EV, extracellular vesicle; miR, microRNA; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction.

CHO EVs from unstressed (control) Day 3 culture contain volumetric concentrations of miR-92a, miR-21, miR-25, let-7c, and miR-23a that are 5400, 2300, 6800, 18,000, and 6600 times greater, respectively, than those in their parent cells.

To confirm the validity of our RT-PCR methodology, we used control data (i.e., Figures 2a and 3a data from unstressed Day 3 culture) to plot miRNA counts per sample versus EV counts per sample for the five abundant miRNAs of interest. As noted in Section 2.4, this exercise is possible because sample volume—not total EV input—was kept constant during miRNA extraction. The resulting plots are available in the Supporting Information: Figure S1. The obvious linear trends in the data confirm the validity of the method. We suspect that the reason some of the apparent trendlines do not intersect with the origin is because the lower limit of detection for our method is >0 EVs. This limit may be the result of the RT-PCR, but is more likely a consequence of the RNA extraction procedure, as the TaqMan assay we employ is notoriously sensitive to small amounts of input material.

3.4 | Transient overexpression of individual miRs impacts CHO cell growth and viability

Little is currently known regarding the functions of CHO EV miR cargo in target CHO cells. Therefore, we wanted to examine the growth, viability, and apoptosis of CHO cells overexpressing either let-7a, which is highly expressed in CHO EVs from stressed cultures, or miR-21 or miR-92a, both of which are highly expressed in CHO EVs from unstressed, exponential-phase cultures (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2023). Let-7a has been reported in the literature to have a negative impact on cell growth and viability in other cell types (Cho et al., 2015; Tsang & Kwok, 2008; Zhao et al., 2018). On the other hand, miR-21 and miR-92a have been reported to improve cell growth and viability (Feng & Tsao, 2016; Krichevsky & Gabriely, 2009; Liu et al., 2014; Mogilyansky & Rigoutsos, 2013; Shigoka et al., 2010; Thabet et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2015). In CHO cells, miR-92a has also been shown to boost protein production by raising intracellular cholesterol levels (Loh et al., 2017).




FIGURE 3 Individual miR levels in CHO EVs and CHO cells from stressed cultures. The levels of five abundant miRs were individually measured in (a) CHO EVs and (b) CHO cells from stress-treated Day 3 cultures via RT-PCR. The bars in (b) represent fold change relative to unstressed control cell miR levels. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean of 3–4 replicates. Significance was determined with unpaired Student's *t*-test. For significance relative to another stressed sample, **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01; for significance relative to the unstressed control, †*p* < 0.05. CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; EV, extracellular vesicle; miR, microRNA; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction.

In this study, cultures were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding miRs that were previously identified to be in high abundance during the exponential phase in stressed or standard cultures (let-7a, miR-21, miR-92a) to observe a relationship between these specific miRs and cell growth and viability. We hypothesized overexpressing highly abundant miRs from unstressed cultures identified in the exponential phase, miR-21 and miR-92a, throughout the culture lifespan would promote cell growth and viability, particularly in the stationary phase. Conversely, we hypothesized that overexpression of highly abundant miRs from stressed cultures, let-7a, would decrease cell growth and viability. Cultures were compared to a control culture that was transiently transfected with a plasmid encoding a far-red fluorescent protein (pLifeAct-miRFP703) to control for the effects of electroporation, plasmid burden, and selection pressure. The burden of expressing a protein (1 kb) is

greater than that of expressing pri-miR sequences (80–150 bp) and, in addition, the expressed protein is a fluorescent reporter that does not have a functional role in the cell. Therefore, the differences in the plasmid effects on cell growth and viability between this control and miR-overexpressing conditions are likely conservative. Another type of control that could have been used is a plasmid with a “random sequence” miR, or an “empty” plasmid (i.e., a plasmid without DNA in the locus of the miR). While widely tested for human miRs, we are concerned that one can never ascertain the a “random sequence” miR has not biological effects in CHO cells for lack of extensive testing. The “empty” option would be preferable, but in the end we opted for the more conservative pLifeAct-miRFP703 control.

In cultures that were transiently transfected with the let-7a plasmid (Figure 4a), the cell concentrations at Days 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9 were significantly lower compared to the control culture, indicating




FIGURE 4 Transient expression of let-7a, miR-21, and miR-92a. (a) Cell growth curve of cells transiently expressing let-7a, miR-21, or miR-92a compared to the control (transient transfection of pLifeAct-miRFP703). (b) Annexin V/7-AAD flow cytometry viability assay of cultures transiently transfected with the control plasmid (pLifeAct-miRFP703), let-7a plasmid, miR-21 plasmid, or miR-92a plasmid. Conditions where viability was significantly lower than the associated control are red. Conditions where viability was significantly greater than the associated control are green. (c) Fold change in cultures transiently expressing let-7a, miR-21, and miR-92a compared to the endogenous expression in the control culture (transient transfection of pLifeAct-miRFP703). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean of 3–4 replicates. Significance relative to control was determined with unpaired Student's *t*-test, **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001. 7-AAD, 7-aminoactinomycin D; miR, microRNA.

let-7a has a negative impact on cell growth. The cell density of cultures transfected with the plasmid encoding miR-21 was only significantly different from the control culture at Days 7 and 8. For cultures with the miR-92a plasmid, the cell density was significantly

different from the control on Day 2; otherwise, there was no difference in the cell growth curve.

The viability of these cultures were measured on Days 4, 6, and 8 with an annexin V/7-AAD flow cytometry assay (Figure 4b).

Conditions in red indicate reduced viability or increased apoptosis and conditions in green indicate increased viability or decreased apoptosis compared to the control culture at the same timepoints. On Days 4 and 6, cultures transfected with let-7a had significantly fewer viable cells. The let-7a condition had significantly more early apoptotic and late apoptotic cells compared to the control culture, in agreement with reports of let-7a inducing apoptosis (Cho et al., 2015; Tsang & Kwok, 2008).

Cultures with the plasmid expressing miR-21 demonstrated decreases in the populations of viable and early apoptotic cells and an increase in the population of late apoptotic cells on Day 6 of culture. miR-21 is highly expressed during the exponential phase in normal batch cultures and is reported in other mammalian cell lines to have an antiapoptotic role and support cell growth (Feng & Tsao, 2016; Krichevsky & Gabriely, 2009); therefore, we initially hypothesized that overexpression of miR-21 through stationary phase would support both cell growth and viability. However, in cultures overexpressing miR-21, a decrease in cell density and a decrease in cell viability was observed during the late stationary phase. Our study therefore suggests that the impacts of overexpressing miR-21 by up to twofold on CHO cell growth and viability are negligible in early culture, and may be negative in mid to late culture. Cultures with the plasmid expressing miR-92a demonstrated an increase in the population of viable cells on Day 8 of culture compared to the control culture at the same time point. In the literature, miR-92a is reported to increase cell proliferation (Zhou et al., 2015), and was observed in our previous experiments to be highly expressed early in culture (Figure 2). Here, overexpression of miR-92a resulted in improved viability in late culture.

The fold change in miR levels, measured via RT-qPCR, was expressed relative to endogenous expression in a control culture with transient transfection of apLifeAct-miRFP703 plasmid (Figure 4c). Approximately a twofold difference in expression of let-7a, miR-21, and miR-92a was observed on Day 4 of culture, which is well within the range generally required for phenotypic changes (Mestdagh et al., 2009).

Taken together, these results suggest novel pro- and antiapoptotic roles for highly-expressed CHO EV miR cargo in target CHO cells. The let-7a data suggest a potential proapoptotic function for CHO EVs produced under ammonia/osmotic stress conditions (which upregulate let-7a cargo levels). The miR-92a data suggest a potential antiapoptotic function for CHO EVs produced in unstressed, exponential-phase cultures (which upregulate miR-92a cargo levels). Indeed, it is possible that miR-92a action plays a role in the protective effects of CHO EVs reported in other studies (Han & Rhee, 2018; Takagi et al., 2021). The miR-21 data in this study were unexpected, because, as noted previously, miR-21 has repeatedly been found to promote growth and viability of other cell types.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we measured total miR and protein levels on a stoichiometric ("per-EV") basis, and then used RT-PCR to quantify the presence of total miR and protein cargo, as well as key individual

miRs. Total miR levels were significantly higher in CHO EVs from stressed cultures (Figure 1c), even as individual miR levels were often significantly lower (Figure 3a). This finding suggests that the bulk of the total miR content in CHO EVs from stressed cultures are *not* composed of solely the five miRs identified in standard cultures during the exponential phase and investigated individually here (i.e., miR-92a, miR-21, miR-25, let-7c, miR-23a). Indeed, previous RNA sequencing of the osmotic and ammonia-stressed cultures supports this, as the identities of the most abundant miRs in CHO EVs differ when parent cells are exposed to stress (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2023). We would expect a similar finding following the application of lactate stress. The apparent decrease in specific miRs studied here alongside the observed increase in bulk miR content over time suggests a dynamic miR landscape throughout culture that may be responsive to the culture environment. We hypothesize that the specific miRs identified previously with RNAseq from the exponential phase, standard cultures support cells during this phase and in this environment. As the culture ages or stresses are added, our data suggests that the miR landscape also shifts where the previously identified miRs are no longer in high abundance. It is unsurprising that the miR landscape during the exponential phase, standard cultures is not the same as during the stationary or death phase and in stressed cultures. In our observations that the bulk miR content increases with culture age, we hypothesize that other unidentified miRs are increasing in abundance and future investigations into the CHO EV miR landscape will identify the specific miR content.

Similarly, we expect that RNA sequencing of CHO EVs from different growth phases would detect distinct miR profiles (relative to CHO EVs from Day 3 culture) (Keysberg et al., 2021), since CHO EVs under these conditions carry more total miR (Figure 1a) despite an apparent downward trend in individual miR levels during early culture (Figure 2a). The stoichiometric analysis of per-EV miR and protein levels presented in this study is unique in CHO EV research, which has so far been limited to descriptions of relative RNA levels informed by RNA sequencing data.

The exceptionally high enrichment of miR observed in the RT-PCR data, would logically be interpreted to mean that the parent cells may achieve specific biological goals by this enrichment. Since the large EVs are largely budding off the cytoplasmic membrane, the sorting mechanism of miRs into large EVs likely enriches specific miRs in high concentrations and also result in high concentrations of specific miRs near the cytoplasmic membrane.

Notably, this study represents the first analysis of the impact of lactate stress on CHO EV cargo. Although the lactate concentrations employed in this study did not have a significant impact of cell growth or viability, miR and protein levels in EVs were certainly affected. Lactate appears similar to ammonia in terms of its effect on miR and protein cargo, and its impact extends beyond its osmotic effects, which were controlled for by a 20 mOsm/L NaCl treatment (i.e., the "osmotic control").

Considerations of analyzing RNAs associated with EV-free proteins that may coprecipitate during ultracentrifugation were addressed in Turchinovich et al. (2011), where it was demonstrated

that miRs in cell culture media remain in suspension after ultracentrifugation at 110,000g. Here we used an ultracentrifugation speed of 28,000g. There is currently no consensus protocol for RNase/protease treatment of EVs with a wide range of publications not RNase and/or protease treating EVs (Bellingham et al., 2012; Busch et al., 2022; Keysberg et al., 2021; Pérez-Boza et al., 2018). Alternatively, papers that do report using RNase treatment protocols use a wide range of RNase concentrations between 0.004 and 0.4 mg/mL (Bracht et al., 2021; Gutiérrez García et al., 2020; Lunavat et al., 2015; Valadi et al., 2007). A major concern of RNase treatment of EVs is inhibiting the RNases before EV lysis for RNA extraction. An additional issue arising from RNase treatment of EVs was documented in a previous publication from our lab, where we demonstrated that RNase treatment of EVs destroyed the native EV RNAs (Jiang et al., 2017) because RNases are taken up by cells and membrane vesicles. We had used RNase treatment to decimate the native RNA cargo to load EVs with synthetic cargo. Thus, in our opinion and based on our experimental data, RNase treatment is not an option for RNA analysis of EVs. Finally, miRs found extracellularly are mostly bound to Ago2 proteins from lysed cells, which when bound to miRs is resistant to protease treatments (Elkayam et al., 2012; Turchinovich et al., 2011).

This study also explored the role of three specific miRs (let-7a, miR-21, and miR-92a) on cell growth, viability, and apoptosis in CHO cultures across growth phases. In transiently overexpressing let-7a, there was an observed decrease in cell growth and viability. There was no impact to cell growth in cultures transfected with the miR-92a plasmid and there was an observed increase in viability of these cultures on Days 2 and 8. Cultures transfected with the miR-21 plasmid did not demonstrate improved cell growth or viability (such improvement was expected, based on literature reports), with decreases in viability only on Day 6 of culture. This result was somewhat surprising, and miR-21 has been associated with improved growth and viability in other cell types (Feng & Tsao, 2016; Krichevsky & Gabriely, 2009; Liu et al., 2014; Thabet et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2019).

These results suggest, for the first time, potential functions for the common miR cargoes carried by CHO EVs from stressed and unstressed cultures. Namely, let-7b (common in CHO EVs from stressed cultures) may induce CHO cell apoptosis, while miR-92a (common in CHO EVs from unstressed, exponential-phase cultures) may promote CHO cell survival. A more robust study stably overexpressing these miRs in CHO cells will be required to fully understand the role these miRs have on industrially relevant parameters such as cell growth, viability, apoptosis, titer, and product quality (Bazaz et al., 2023; Leroux et al., 2021). Studies have revealed that specific combinations of miRs can result in phenotypic changes (Cursons et al., 2018; Kao et al., 2022), suggesting that the highly abundant miRs identified in CHO EVs may need to be examined in combination to identify any potential phenotypes.

The practical implications of this study are twofold. Differential miR and protein cargo loading suggest a use for CHO EVs as a culture

diagnostic tool; indeed, the use of EV concentration as a metric for CHO culture health has already been demonstrated (Zavec et al., 2016). Both RT-PCR and our Qubit (total miR) and Bradford protein assays provide proof-of-concept for the relatively quick and simple evaluation of EV cargo. In several cases, these assays not only identify the presence of stress, but also differentiate between types of stress (i.e., ammonia or lactate vs. osmotic stress). Some EV cargo characteristics—specifically, total miR and miR-92a/let-7c levels—could even serve to differentiate between different levels of the same stress (i.e., 20 and 120 mOsm/L osmotic stress). Beyond their potential as a diagnostic tool, CHO EVs offer an efficient miR (or protein) delivery system that does not require disruption of the plasma membrane or complex genetic engineering. Manipulating cellular phenotype via miR is particularly appealing for CHO culture, as miRs do not compete with the translational machinery used in protein production (Müller et al., 2008). In this vein, this study reports novel findings regarding the pro- or antiapoptotic functions (in CHO cells) of let-7a, miR-21, and miR-92a, and also provides a framework for understanding the age/stress conditions that produce CHO EVs with high or low levels of certain miRs.

Future research should investigate the identities of the specific protein chaperones responsible for altered EV cargo loading under stress. Additionally, though miR-92a and miR-21 are highly expressed by CHO EVs from early exponential phase culture and let-7a is highly expressed by CHO EVs produced under stress (Belliveau & Papoutsakis, 2023), these miRs are by no means the only cargo capable of inducing phenotype changes in target cells. The roles of other miRs—especially those present at high levels in EVs from stress conditions—must be evaluated. At some point, it will be necessary to conduct RNA sequencing (and possibly proteomic analysis) of CHO EVs produced under lactate stress and in lag- and stationary-phase culture, as we predict the presence of novel EV miR profiles in these conditions. In sum, our research initiates the long process of linking CHO EV cargo with CHO EV function, suggesting exciting applications for CHO EVs as both diagnostic tools and culture therapeutics.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Jessica Belliveau, Will Thompson, and Eleftherios T. Papoutsakis designed the study and analyzed the data. Jessica Belliveau and Will Thompson carried out the experiments. Jessica Belliveau, Will Thompson, and Eleftherios T. Papoutsakis wrote the manuscript. Jessica Belliveau and Will Thompson should be considered joint first authors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support of this work by Merck & Co., Inc. and the Advanced Mammalian Biomanufacturing Innovation Center (AMBIC) supported in part by the US National Science Foundation (NSF, Grant number 1624698) and industrial members of the Center, and notably Millipore Sigma and Sartorius. Will Thompson also acknowledges partial support by a US Department of Education GAANN Fellowship (Grant number P200A210065).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Jessica Belliveau  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3466-6428>

Will Thompson  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0014-1941>

Eleftherios T. Papoutsakis  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1077-1277>

REFERENCES

- Anand, S., Samuel, M., Kumar, S., & Mathivanan, S. (2019). Ticket to a bubble ride: Cargo sorting into exosomes and extracellular vesicles. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)—Proteins and Proteomics*, 1867(12), 140203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2019.02.005>
- Bazaz, M., Adeli, A., Azizi, M., Karimipoor, M., Mahboudi, F., & Davoudi, N. (2023). Overexpression of miR-32 in Chinese hamster ovary cells increases production of Fc-fusion protein. *AMB Express*, 13(1), 45. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-023-01540-z>
- Bellingham, S. A., Coleman, B. M., & Hill, A. F. (2012). Small RNA deep sequencing reveals a distinct miRNA signature released in exosomes from prion-infected neuronal cells. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40(21), 10937–10949. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks832>
- Belliveau, J., & Papoutsakis, E. T. (2022). Extracellular vesicles facilitate large-scale dynamic exchange of proteins and RNA among cultured Chinese hamster ovary and human cells. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 119(5), 1222–1238. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.28053>
- Belliveau, J., & Papoutsakis, E. T. (2023). The microRNomes of Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells and their extracellular vesicles, and how they respond to osmotic and ammonia stress. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 120(9), 2700–2716. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.28356>
- Bracht, J. W. P., Gimenez-Capitan, A., Huang, C. Y., Potie, N., Pedraz-Valdunciel, C., Warren, S., Rosell, R., & Molina-Vila, M. A. (2021). Analysis of extracellular vesicle mRNA derived from plasma using the nCounter platform. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 3712. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-83132-0>
- Busch, D. J., Zhang, Y., Kumar, A., Huhn, S. C., Du, Z., & Liu, R. (2022). Identification of RNA content of CHO-derived extracellular vesicles from a production process. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 348, 36–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2022.03.004>
- Chevillet, J. R., Kang, Q., Ruf, I. K., Briggs, H. A., Vojtech, L. N., Hughes, S. M., Cheng, H. H., Arroyo, J. D., Meredith, E. K., Gallichotte, E. N., Pogossova-Agadjanyan, E. L., Morrissey, C., Stirewalt, D. L., Hladik, F., Yu, E. Y., Higano, C. S., & Tewari, M. (2014). Quantitative and stoichiometric analysis of the microRNA content of exosomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(41), 14888–14893. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1408301111>
- Cho, K. J., Song, J., Oh, Y., & Lee, J. E. (2015). MicroRNA-Let-7a regulates the function of microglia in inflammation. *Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience*, 68, 167–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2015.07.004>
- Corrado, C., Barreca, M. M., Zichittella, C., Alessandro, R., & Conigliaro, A. (2021). Molecular mediators of RNA loading into extracellular vesicles. *Cells*, 10(12), 3355. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10123355>
- Cursons, J., Pillman, K. A., Scheer, K. G., Gregory, P. A., Foroutan, M., Hedyeh-Zadeh, S., Toubia, J., Crampin, E. J., Goodall, G. J., Bracken, C. P., & Davis, M. J. (2018). Combinatorial targeting by microRNAs co-ordinates post-transcriptional control of EMT. *Cell Systems*, 7(1), 77–91.e7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2018.05.019>
- Dellar, E. R., Hill, C., Melling, G. E., Carter, D. R. F., & Baena-Lopez, L. A. (2022). Unpacking extracellular vesicles: RNA cargo loading and function. *Journal of Extracellular Biology*, 1(5), e40. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jex2.40>
- Elkayam, E., Kuhn, C. D., Tocilj, A., Haase, A. D., Greene, E. M., Hannon, G. J., & Joshua-Tor, L. (2012). The structure of human argonaute-2 in complex with miR-20a. *Cell*, 150(1), 100–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.017>
- Fabbiano, F., Corsi, J., Gurrieri, E., Trevisan, C., Notarangelo, M., & D'Agostino, V. G. (2020). RNA packaging into extracellular vesicles: An orchestra of RNA-binding proteins? *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, 10(2), e12043. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jev2.12043>
- Feng, Y. H., & Tsao, C. J. (2016). Emerging role of microRNA-21 in cancer. *Biomedical Reports*, 5(4), 395–402. <https://doi.org/10.3892/br.2016.747>
- George, S. K., Lauková, L., Weiss, R., Semak, V., Fendl, B., Weiss, V. U., Steinberger, S., Allmaier, G., Tripisciano, C., & Weber, V. (2021). Comparative analysis of platelet-derived extracellular vesicles using flow cytometry and nanoparticle tracking analysis. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(8), 3839. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22083839>
- Gutiérrez García, G., Galicia García, G., Zalapa Soto, J., Izquierdo Medina, A., Rotzinger-Rodríguez, M., Casas Aguilar, G. A., López Pacheco, C. P., Aguayo, Á., & Aguilar-Hernandez, M. M. (2020). Analysis of RNA yield in extracellular vesicles isolated by membrane affinity column and differential ultracentrifugation. *PLoS One*, 15(11), e0238545. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238545>
- Han, S., & Rhee, W. J. (2018). Inhibition of apoptosis using exosomes in Chinese hamster ovary cell culture. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 115(5), 1331–1339. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26549>
- Jiang, J., Kao, C. Y., & Papoutsakis, E. T. (2017). How do megakaryocytic microparticles target and deliver cargo to alter the fate of hematopoietic stem cells? *Journal of Controlled Release*, 247, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2016.12.021>
- Kao, C. Y., Jiang, J., Thompson, W., & Papoutsakis, E. T. (2022). miR-486-5p and miR-22-3p enable megakaryocytic differentiation of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells without thrombopoietin. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 23(10), 5355. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23105355>
- Kao, C. Y., & Papoutsakis, E. T. (2019). Extracellular vesicles: exosomes, microparticles, their parts, and their targets to enable their biomanufacturing and clinical applications. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 60, 89–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.01.005>
- Keysberg, C., Hertel, O., Schelletter, L., Busche, T., Sochart, C., Kalinowski, J., Hoffrogge, R., Otte, K., & Noll, T. (2021). Exploring the molecular content of CHO exosomes during bioprocessing. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 105(9), 3673–3689. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-021-11309-8>
- Kondratov, K., Nikitin, Y., Fedorov, A., Kostareva, A., Mikhailovskii, V., Isakov, D., Ivanov, A., & Golovkin, A. (2020). Heterogeneity of the nucleic acid repertoire of plasma extracellular vesicles demonstrated using high-sensitivity fluorescence-activated sorting. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, 9(1), 1743139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2020.1743139>
- Krichevsky, A. M., & Gabriely, G. (2009). miR-21: A small multi-faceted RNA. *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine*, 13(1), 39–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2008.00556.x>
- Kumar, N., Gupta, D., Kumar, S., Maurya, P., Tiwari, A., Mathew, B., Banerjee, S., Haldar, S., Pillai, J., Bhatnagar, S., & Chaudhuri, S. (2016). Exploring packaged microvesicle proteome composition of Chinese hamster ovary secretome. *Journal of Bioprocessing & Biotechniques*, 6(4), 1000274.

- Leidal, A. M., & Debnath, J. (2020). Unraveling the mechanisms that specify molecules for secretion in extracellular vesicles. *Methods*, 177, 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2020.01.008>
- Leroux, A. C., Bartels, E., Winter, L., Mann, M., Otte, K., & Zehe, C. (2021). Transferability of miRNA-technology to bioprocessing: Influence of cultivation mode and media. *Biotechnology Progress*, 37(2), e3107. <https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.3107>
- Liu, Y., Wang, X., Yang, D., Xiao, Z., & Chen, X. (2014). MicroRNA-21 affects proliferation and apoptosis by regulating expression of PTEN in human keloid fibroblasts. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 134(4), 561e–573e. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.0000000000000577>
- Livak, K. J., & Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. *Methods*, 25(4), 402–408. <https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262>
- Loh, W. P., Yang, Y., & Lam, K. P. (2017). miR-92a enhances recombinant protein productivity in CHO cells by increasing intracellular cholesterol levels. *Biotechnology Journal*, 12(4), 1600488. <https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201600488>
- Lunavat, T. R., Cheng, L., Kim, D. K., Bhadury, J., Jang, S. C., Lässer, C., Sharples, R. A., López, M. D., Nilsson, J., Ghosh, Y. S., Hill, A. F., & Lötvall, J. (2015). Small RNA deep sequencing discriminates subsets of extracellular vesicles released by melanoma cells—Evidence of unique microRNA cargos. *RNA Biology*, 12(8), 810–823. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2015.1056975>
- Mestdagh, P., Van Vlierberghe, P., De Weert, A., Muth, D., Westermann, F., Speleman, F., & Vandesompele, J. (2009). A novel and universal method for microRNA RT-qPCR data normalization. *Genome Biology*, 10(6), R64. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2009-10-6-r64>
- Mogilyansky, E., & Rigoutsos, I. (2013). The miR-17/92 cluster: A comprehensive update on its genomics, genetics, functions and increasingly important and numerous roles in health and disease. *Cell Death & Differentiation*, 20(12), 1603–1614. <https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2013.125>
- Müller, D., Kättinger, H., & Grillari, J. (2008). MicroRNAs as targets for engineering of CHO cell factories. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 26(7), 359–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2008.03.010>
- van Niel, G., D'Angelo, G., & Raposo, G. (2018). Shedding light on the cell biology of extracellular vesicles. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 19, 213–228. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.125>
- O'Brien, K., Breyne, K., Ughetto, S., Laurent, L. C., & Breakefield, X. O. (2020). RNA delivery by extracellular vesicles in mammalian cells and its applications. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 21(10), 585–606. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0251-y>
- Pérez-Boza, J., Lion, M., & Struman, I. (2018). Exploring the RNA landscape of endothelial exosomes. *RNA*, 24(3), 423–435. <https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.064352.117>
- Perge, P., Butz, H., Pezzani, R., Bancos, I., Nagy, Z., Pálóczi, K., Nyíró, G., Decmann, Á., Pap, E., Luconi, M., Mannelli, M., Buzás, E. I., Tóth, M., Boscaro, M., Patócs, A., & Igaz, P. (2017). Evaluation and diagnostic potential of circulating extracellular vesicle-associated microRNAs in adrenocortical tumors. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 5474. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05777-0>
- Raposo, G., & Stoorvogel, W. (2013). Extracellular vesicles: Exosomes, microvesicles, and friends. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 200(4), 373–383. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201211138>
- Shigoka, M., Tsuchida, A., Matsudo, T., Nagakawa, Y., Saito, H., Suzuki, Y., Aoki, T., Murakami, Y., Toyoda, H., Kumada, T., Bartenschlager, R., Kato, N., Ikeda, M., Takashina, T., Tanaka, M., Suzuki, R., Oikawa, K., Takanashi, M., & Kuroda, M. (2010). Deregulation of miR-92a expression is implicated in hepatocellular carcinoma development. *Pathology International*, 60(5), 351–357. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1827.2010.02526.x>
- Stevanato, L., Thanabalasundaram, L., Vysokov, N., & Sinden, J. D. (2016). Investigation of content, stoichiometry and transfer of miRNA from human neural stem cell line derived exosomes. *PLoS One*, 11(1), e0146353. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146353>
- Takagi, M., Jimbo, S., Oda, T., Goto, Y., & Fujiwara, M. (2021). Polymer fraction including exosomes derived from Chinese hamster ovary cells promoted their growth during serum-free repeated batch culture. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 131(2), 183–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2020.09.011>
- Thabet, E., Yusuf, A., Abdelmonsif, D. A., Nabil, I., Mourad, G., & Mehanna, R. A. (2020). Extracellular vesicles miRNA-21: A potential therapeutic tool in premature ovarian dysfunction. *Molecular Human Reproduction*, 26(12), 906–919. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/gaaa068>
- Théry, C., Witwer, K. W., Aikawa, E., Alcaraz, M. J., Anderson, J. D., Andriantsitohaina, R., Antoniou, A., Arab, T., Archer, F., Atkin-Smith, G. K., Ayre, D. C., Bach, J. M., Bachurski, D., Baharvand, H., Balaj, L., Baldacchino, S., Bauer, N. N., Baxter, A. A., Bebawy, M., ... Jovanovic-Talisman, T. (2018). Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): A position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, 7(1), 1535750. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750>
- Tsang, W. P., & Kwok, T. T. (2008). Let-7a microRNA suppresses therapeutics-induced cancer cell death by targeting caspase-3. *Apoptosis*, 13(10), 1215–1222. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10495-008-0256-z>
- Turchinovich, A., Weiz, L., Langheinz, A., & Burwinkel, B. (2011). Characterization of extracellular circulating microRNA. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 39(16), 7223–7233. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr254>
- Valadi, H., Ekström, K., Bossios, A., Sjöstrand, M., Lee, J. J., & Lötvall, J. O. (2007). Exosome-mediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel mechanism of genetic exchange between cells. *Nature Cell Biology*, 9(6), 654–659. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1596>
- Wei, Z., Batagov, A. O., Schinelli, S., Wang, J., Wang, Y., El Fatimy, R., Rabinovsky, R., Balaj, L., Chen, C. C., Hochberg, F., Carter, B., Breakefield, X. O., & Krichevsky, A. M. (2017). Coding and noncoding landscape of extracellular RNA released by human glioma stem cells. *Nature Communications*, 8(1), 1145. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01196-x>
- Xu, G., Li, L., Wei, J., Xiao, L., Wang, X., Pang, W., Yan, X., Chen, Z., & Song, G. (2019). Identification and profiling of microRNAs expressed in oral buccal mucosa squamous cell carcinoma of Chinese hamster. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 15616. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52197-3>
- Yang, Y. C., Liu, G. J., Yuan, D. F., Li, C. Q., Xue, M., & Chen, L. J. (2019). Influence of exosome-derived miR-21 on chemotherapy resistance of esophageal cancer. *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, 23(4), 1513–1519. https://doi.org/10.26355/eurev_201902_17109
- Zavec, A. B., Kralj-Iglič, V., Brinc, M., Trček, T. F., Kuzman, D., Schweiger, A., & Anderluh, G. (2016). Extracellular vesicles concentration is a promising and important parameter for industrial bioprocess monitoring. *Biotechnology Journal*, 11(5), 603–609. <https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201500049>
- Zhao, W., Hu, J. X., Hao, R. M., Zhang, Q., Guo, J. Q., Li, Y. J., Xie, N., Liu, L. Y., Wang, P. Y., Zhang, C., & Xie, S. Y. (2018). Induction of microRNA-let-7a inhibits lung adenocarcinoma cell growth by regulating cyclin D1. *Oncology Reports*, 40(4), 1843–1854. <https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2018.6593>

Zhou, C., Shen, L., Mao, L., Wang, B., Li, Y., & Yu, H. (2015). miR-92a is upregulated in cervical cancer and promotes cell proliferation and invasion by targeting FBXW7. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 458(1), 63–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.01.066>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Belliveau, J., Thompson, W., & Papoutsakis, E. T. (2024). Kinetic and functional analysis of abundant microRNAs in extracellular vesicles from normal and stressed cultures of Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 121, 118–130. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.28570>